

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/585,481	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NOMURA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> GELEK W. TOPGYAL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2481	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GELEK W. TOPGYAL. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) DOUGLAS HAHM. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 September 2011.

Type:    ☒ Telephonic    ☐ Video Conference  
           ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant    ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:    ☐ Yes    ☒ No.  
     If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed    ☒ 101    ☐ 112    ☐ 102    ☐ 103    ☒ Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 26 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The examiner presented applicants with proposed examiners amendment to include limitations of dependent claims 2-5 into independent claims 1, 26 and 27 (thereby cancelling claims 2-5 as well). Additionally, amendment was proposed to overcome 101 rejection of claim 27. The applicants accepted the proposed examiners amendment in the telephone conversation on September 20<sup>th</sup>, 2011. The accepted amendment is as recited in the attached Notice of Allowance.

**Applicant recordation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recordation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/GELEK W TOPGYAL/ Examiner, Art Unit 2481	/WILLIAM C. VAUGHN JR/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2481
--	--